The Honorable Ronald B. Leighton 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA 9 10 ANNIE McCULLUMN, NANCY RAMEY **CLASS ACTION** 11 and TAMI ROMERO, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, 12 No. 3:15-cv-05150-RBL Plaintiffs, 13 FINAL ORDER v. 14 AND JUDGMENT APPROVING 15 VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, CLASS SETTLEMENT 16 Defendant. 17 Plaintiffs Annie McCullumn, Nancy Ramey, and Tami Romero and Defendant 18 Vancouver Housing Authority ("VHA") have submitted their Settlement Agreement seeking to 19 settle this class action, subject to court approval. On April 23, 2015, the Court entered an order 20 preliminarily approving the settlement, conditionally certifying two Settlement Classes, and 21 authorizing a plan pursuant to which notice of the Settlement Agreement would be given to 22 members of the proposed Settlement Classes ("Preliminary Approval Order," filed as Dkt. #21). 23 Having considered the Settlement Agreement, the papers submitted by the parties in 24 connection with the preliminary approval and final approval of the Settlement Agreement, 25

objections to the settlement by Class Members, if any, and the arguments of counsel at the

[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 1 [Case No. 3:15-cv-05150-RBL]

26

Columbia Legal Services 711 Capitol Way S #304 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 943-6260 (360) 754-4578 (fax) Fairness Hearing held on July 31, 2015, the Court hereby enters the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), and enters judgment accordingly.

I. <u>FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

The Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. This Final Order and Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement (on file with the Court as Dkt. #11-1). All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

A. <u>Jurisdiction</u>

2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this case and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, including all Class Members, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1367. VHA has waived any defense related to sovereign immunity under the Eleventh Amendment of the United States Constitution solely for purposes of this Action.

B. Damages Class

- 3. The Court provisionally certified solely for settlement purposes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) a Damages Class consisting of all adult heads of household who (a) executed a lease and resided in Public Housing owned by VHA between April 1, 2004 and April 30, 2011; (b) paid an income-based or minimum rent; and (c) were responsible for tenant-paid utilities.
 - 4. For purposes of settlement only, the Court finds that:
 - (a) The Damages Class is sufficiently definite;
 - (b) The Damages Class consists of approximately 887 individual members and is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - (c) There are questions of law or fact common to the Damages Class;

26

26

- - (d) Plaintiffs Annie McCullumn and Nancy Ramey assert claims that are typical of the claims of members of the Damages Class; they have standing; they have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Damages Class; and they have no conflict of interests with Damages Class members;
 - (e) The Court provisionally appointed Columbia Legal Services as Class Counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g). Columbia Legal Services is qualified, competent counsel, with sufficient resources, who have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Damages Class, will continue to do so, and are able to represent the Damages Class in connection with the proposed Settlement;
 - (f) The Class Representatives and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Damages Class in connection with the proposed Settlement; and
 - (g) Questions of law or fact common to Damages Class members predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy, in that:
 - (i) Members of the Damages Class do not have an overriding interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions;
 - (ii) The economic damages suffered by individual Damages Class members are modest compared to the expense and burden of individual litigation, making it impractical for individual class members to seek redress for the alleged violations;
 - No other litigation concerning this controversy has been commenced by any Damages Class member;
 - (iv) Concentration of litigation is desirable so that all claims can be resolved in one forum; and

6

8 9

10

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

A class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), for (v) purposes of settlement only, can be maintained here without undue difficulty.

5. The Court finds and rules that the Damages Class should be finally certified for settlement purposes only.

C. **Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class**

- 6. The Court provisionally certified solely for settlement purposes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) a Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class consisting of all adult heads of households who (a) executed a lease and currently reside in Public Housing or Covered Housing owned by VHA or who will execute a lease and reside in Public Housing when the nonmonetary relief provisions set forth below remain in effect; (b) pay or will pay an income-based or minimum rent; and (c) are or will be responsible for tenant-paid utilities.
 - 7. For purposes of settlement only, the Court finds that:
 - (a) The Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class is sufficiently definite;
 - (b) The Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class consists of approximately 272 households and is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - (c) There are questions of law or fact common to the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class;
 - (d) Plaintiffs Nancy Ramey and Tami Romero assert claims that are typical of the claims of the members of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class; they have standing; they have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class; and they have no conflict of interests with Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class members;
 - (e) The Court provisionally appointed Columbia Legal Services as Class Counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g). Columbia Legal Services is qualified, competent counsel, with sufficient resources, who have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class, will continue to

5

9

7

13

11

15

16

18

17

1920

22

21

2324

25

26

do so, and are able to represent the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class in connection with the proposed Settlement; and

- (f) The alleged acts or omissions of VHA which are at issue in this litigation apply generally to the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class as a whole.
- 8. The Court finds and rules that the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class should be finally certified for settlement purposes only.

D. Notice to Settlement Classes and Opportunity to Opt Out or Object

- 9. As demonstrated by the declarations of Adrian U. Winder, L. Stephens Tilghman, and Gregory D. Provenzano (on file with the Court as Dkts. #36, 37, and 39) and the exhibits thereto, VHA has provided notice to Class Members in the manner and form approved by the Preliminary Approval Order and as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The notice plan, as implemented, meets the requirements of due process and was reasonable under the circumstances. The Court finds that the notice fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement Agreement and of their right to opt out or exclude themselves from the Damages Class and for all Class Members to object to the settlement. The notice was the best practicable under the circumstances, provided valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members, and complied fully with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the constitutional requirements of due process under state and federal law, and other applicable law.
- 10. As demonstrated by the declaration of Adrian U. Winder (on file with the Court as Dkt. #37) and the exhibits thereto, VHA notified the appropriate federal and state officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.
- 11. Class Counsel held two meetings for Class Members as required by the Preliminary Approval Order and Settlement Agreement. During these meetings, Class Members

4

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

had a full opportunity to ask questions about the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement and their right to exclude themselves from the Damages Class, to object to the proposed settlement, or to appear at the Fairness Hearing.

12. Members of the Damages Class had a full and informed opportunity to exclude themselves from the Damages Class and otherwise opt out of this lawsuit. The only individuals who properly opted out of the Damages Class are shown on Attachment 1. Three other members of the Damages Class – Candy Ruff, Aleksandr Dzyuba, and Tiffany Cross – filed Declarations with the Court prior to the July 1, 2015 deadline for excluding oneself from the Damages Class, effectively retracting their previous requests to opt out, and are here confirmed to be members of the Damages Class entitled to benefits under the Settlement Agreement and bound by its terms and this Final Order and Judgment.

E. Fairness and Adequacy of the Settlement Agreement

- 13. The Court has determined that a full opportunity has been given to the members of both Settlement Classes to be heard in favor and in opposition to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and to Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs, including Class Representative incentive payments, and to otherwise participate in the Fairness Hearing held on July 31, 2015.
- No Class Member filed any objection to the settlement or to Class Counsel's 14. request for attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive payments by the July 1, 2015 deadline for doing so. The Court did not hear testimony from any Class Members or their counsel at the Fairness Hearing objecting to the settlement. [The Court heard testimony from the following Class Members their of the Settlement: counsel objecting to the terms . The Parties responded to these objections. The Court finds that none of the objections warrant disapproval of the Settlement Agreement, and all such objections are therefore specifically overruled.]

- 15. The Court has carefully considered all the papers, evidence, and arguments before it and has made its independent judgment that: (1) Plaintiffs and Class Members face significant risks if this litigation were to proceed; (2) the possibility of a greater ultimate recovery is speculative and any such recovery would only occur after considerable expense and delay; (3) the terms of the Settlement Agreement provide substantial and meaningful benefits to both Settlement Classes; (4) the settlement is the product of meaningful investigation into the facts and circumstances surrounding VHA's annual review and adjustment of its Public Housing utility allowances; (5) the settlement negotiations were protracted and conducted at arm's-length by experienced counsel on both sides, including a full-day mediation under the direction of the Hon. Terrence Carroll (ret.), and without any collusion; (6) the reaction by the Settlement Classes has been in favor of the settlement; (7) experienced Plaintiffs' Counsel as well as Class Counsel support the settlement; and (8) the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") has approved the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Having considered the foregoing, as well as the number of objections; the costs, risks, and delays of continued litigation versus the benefits provided by the settlement; and based on this Court's knowledge of this Action, the Court finds and concludes that the settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Classes and is fair, reasonable, and adequate as to all Class Members.

F. <u>Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Payments</u>

- 17. The Court has considered the request of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and costs, including Class Representative incentive payments, as well as any objections to such requests.
- 18. The Court finds that Class Counsel should receive an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in this matter in the amount of One Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars (\$110,000.00). This amount of fees and costs is reasonable based upon the time and effort

10

11

12

13 14

1516

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

expended, the experience of counsel, their lodestar calculations, and the results obtained. The costs they seek are recoverable and reasonable.

19. The Court also finds that incentive payments in the amount of \$2,000.00 to Annie McCullumn; in the amount of \$1,500.00 to Nancy Ramey; and in the amount of \$1,500.00 to Tami Romero are appropriate here given (1) the risk to the Class Representatives in commencing this action, both financial and otherwise; (2) the amount of time and effort spent by the Class Representatives; (3) the duration of this dispute; and (4) the personal benefit, or lack thereof, enjoyed by the Class Representative as a result of the litigation. The Court finds that the requested incentive payments are fair and proportional and fall within the range of incentive payments approved in comparable cases.

II. ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

A. Final Approval of Settlement Agreement and Certification of Settlement Classes

- 20. **Final Approval and Adoption of Settlement Agreement.** The terms of the Settlement Agreement (filed with the Court as Dkt. #11-1) are granted final approval, are confirmed as fair, reasonable, and adequate, are adopted by this Court as though fully set forth herein, and are binding on Plaintiffs, all Class Members, and VHA.
- 21. **Final Certification of Settlement Classes.** The Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c) and for purposes of settlement only, hereby makes final its provisional certification of the two Settlement Classes.
 - (a) **Damages Class.** The Damages Class is certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) and defined to include all adult heads of household who (a) executed a lease and resided in Public Housing owned by VHA between April 1, 2004 and April 30, 2011; (b) paid an income-based or minimum rent; and (c) were responsible for tenant-paid utilities. The members of the Damages Class are known and consist of approximately 887 individuals. All members of the Damages Class are bound by all

1

7

1011

12

13

14 15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

terms of the Settlement Agreement and by all proceedings and orders in this Action. The opt-outs listed on Attachment 1 are free, if they choose, to pursue any claims they may have against Defendant VHA and are not bound by, and will not receive any of the benefits of, the terms of this Final Order and Judgment or the Settlement Agreement.

- (b) **Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class.** The Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class is certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and defined to include all adult heads of households who (a) executed a lease and currently reside in Public Housing or Covered Housing owned by VHA or who will execute a lease and reside in Public Housing when the non-monetary relief provisions set forth below remain in effect; (b) pay or will pay an income-based or minimum rent; and (c) are or will be responsible for tenant-paid utilities.
- 22. Class Representatives. Plaintiffs Annie McCullumn and Nancy Ramey are appointed Class Representatives for the Damages Class. Plaintiffs Nancy Ramey and Tami Romero are appointed Class Representatives for the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class. All Class Representatives are appointed solely for settlement purposes.
- 23. **Class Counsel.** Columbia Legal Services is appointed Class Counsel to represent both the Damages Class and the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class in connection with the settlement.

B. Monetary Relief for Damages Class Members

- 24. **Settlement Fund and Settlement Payments.** VHA shall pay a settlement amount of \$488,824.02 from which it shall disburse Settlement Payments by check to members of the Damages Class and offset debts owed to VHA by members of the Damages Class in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Exhibit F thereto.
- 25. **Notice of Right to Class Action Settlement Payment.** VHA shall disseminate a Notice of Right to Class Action Settlement Payment, attached hereto as Attachment 4, to each

19

26

"Public Housing" means all projects and units owned by VHA that are (a) assisted under the Housing Act of 1937 other than under Section 8 of the Act, and governed by 24 C.F.R. Part 965, Subpart E. Attachment 2 lists VHA's Public Housing at the time of entry of this Final Order and Judgment.

- (b) "Covered Housing" means those Public Housing projects and units owned by VHA between April 1, 2004 and April 30, 2011 which have been removed or will be removed from the Public Housing program through the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration Program, a voluntary disposition, or other action, where the tenants living in the units when this occurs continue to reside in these units with project-based vouchers. These units shall only be considered Covered Housing so long as the tenants residing in these units at the time of removal from the Public Housing program continue to reside there. Attachment 3 lists those units that the Parties consider to be Covered Housing at the time of entry of this Final Order and Judgment. VHA shall notify Class Counsel of any projects or units that it removes from its Public Housing inventory after the Effective Date within fifteen (15) Days of such occurrence and state whether or not these units should be considered Covered Housing. VHA shall also post a revised Attachment 3 on its website on or before the first day of January, April, July, and October of each calendar year listing all those units that remain Covered Housing under the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment.
- "Vancouver Housing Authority" or "VHA" means the Housing Authority (c) of the City of Vancouver and its successors or assigns.
- 30. Annual Review. VHA shall review at least annually its Public Housing and Covered Housing utility allowances, taking into consideration any changes in applicable utility rates as well as other relevant factors set forth in applicable HUD regulations. VHA shall revise these allowances as reasonably required to adhere to the standards set forth in applicable HUD regulations. As part of its annual review, VHA agrees to adjust these utility allowances to

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 12 [Case No. 3:15-cv-05150-RBL]

account for all utility rate changes that have occurred since its last utility allowance adjustment. VHA shall post a certification on its website whenever it reviews these utility allowances as required by this Final Order and Judgment. The certification shall state when the review was completed and what action VHA took as a result of the review, including any revisions to these utility allowances and when such revisions shall take effect and it shall inform residents of their right to inspect VHA records pertaining to such reviews as provided in Paragraph 33.

- 31. Revisions as a Result of Rate Changes Between Annual Reviews. VHA may revise its Public Housing utility allowances for resident-purchased utilities between annual reviews if there is a rate change, and it shall do so if such change, by itself or together with prior rate changes not adjusted for, results in a change of ten (10) percent or more from the rates on which such allowances were based. Adjustments to resident payments as a result of such changes shall be retroactive to the first day of the month following the month in which the last rate change taken into account in such revision became effective. The Parties recognize that generally VHA has no obligation under applicable HUD regulations to revise its Covered Housing utility allowances between annual reviews. At HUD's direction, however, VHA shall revise the utility allowance schedules for its Covered Housing to correct any errors, or as necessary to update the schedules.
- 32. Sixty (60) Days' Notice and Comment Period before Certain Revisions. For Public Housing utility allowances, VHA shall not establish or revise its estimates of reasonable consumption of utilities by energy-conservative households of modest circumstances without giving at least sixty (60) Days' written notice to all Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Members affected by the proposed allowances and revisions and an opportunity to submit written comments during a period expiring not less than thirty (30) Days before the proposed effective date of the allowances or revisions. VHA agrees to consider such comments before making a final decision whether to revise its estimates of reasonable consumption. All written comments shall be retained by VHA and shall be available for inspection by the Declaratory and

Injunctive Relief Class Members. The Parties acknowledge that VHA has no obligation to give written notice and an opportunity to comment to those residing in its Covered Housing before revising its utility allowance schedules. As a practice, however, VHA does not revise its Section 8 voucher utility allowances without approval of its Board of Commissioners. The VHA Board of Commissioners allows interested parties to submit written and oral comments before adopting any resolution or taking any board action as part of its routine procedures. Class Members residing in Covered Housing may submit comments to the VHA Board of Commissioners, like any interested party, before the Board of Commissioners makes a final decision whether to revise its utility allowance schedules for such housing.

- 33. **Recordkeeping and Inspection.** VHA shall maintain a record that documents the basis on which its Public Housing and Covered Housing utility allowances and revisions thereof are established and revised. This record shall include all written notices that VHA has given to Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Members of proposed utility allowances and revisions thereof, all utility rate information that the agency collects, all utility usage data that the agency has collected, any engineering methodology it has relied upon, including the basis for all assumptions relied upon by any consultant it has retained, and all written comments submitted by Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Members or other program participants or their legal representatives. VHA shall also maintain a record that documents that it has reviewed its Public Housing and Covered Housing utility allowances on an annual basis as provided for in Paragraph 30 or made revisions between annual reviews as required by Paragraph 31. VHA shall permit inspection of these records by any member of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class or his or her legal representative, or by Class Counsel.
- 34. **Individual Relief.** VHA shall consider requests for individual relief from any Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Member residing in Public Housing who incurs utility bills in excess of the applicable utility allowance on any reasonable grounds. Reasonable grounds include special needs of elderly, ill, or disabled residents, or special factors affecting

utility use not within the control of the resident. Reasonable grounds do not include utility use that is within the resident's control. VHA agrees that within ninety (90) Days of the Effective Date, it shall amend its Admission and Continued Occupancy Policy to allow Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Members residing in Public Housing to request individual relief even though their household does not include a person with disabilities. In addition, VHA shall consider requests for individual relief from any Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class Member who incurs utility bills in excess of the applicable utility allowance because his or her household includes a person with disabilities. VHA shall approve a utility allowance that is higher than the applicable allowance if a higher utility allowance is needed as a reasonable accommodation to make the program accessible to and usable by the family member with a disability.

- 35. **Lease Provisions.** VHA agrees that any Public Housing or Covered Housing lease or lease amendment that it enters into while the non-monetary relief provisions remain in effect shall include the following:
- (d) A provision stating that the utility allowances shall be determined by VHA in accordance with HUD regulations and other requirements and that the amounts of the utility allowances are subject to change in accordance with HUD requirements;
- (e) A statement of what utilities, services, and equipment are to be supplied by VHA without additional cost, and what utilities and appliances are to be paid for by the tenant;
- (f) A provision specifying the amount of the tenant's utility allowance that is in effect at the time the lease or lease amendment is executed, itemized by each tenant-paid utility; and
- (g) A provision advising the tenant that should he or she incur utility billings in excess of the applicable utility allowance, he or she may seek individual relief as provided in Paragraph 34. The lease shall inform the tenant of the criteria for granting and procedures for requesting such relief. After the Effective Date, any member of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class who is in good standing with their present lease and who asks shall be given an

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

opportunity to enter into a lease or lease addendum containing these provisions, without having to wait until his or her current lease expires or renews.

- 36. **Notice of Final Settlement.** Within thirty (30) Days of the Effective Date, VHA shall mail a copy of the Notice of Final Settlement, attached hereto as Attachment 5, to all known members of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class by first-class mail, postage prepaid, and shall conspicuously post a copy of the Notice of Final Settlement at its main office and on its website where it can be readily seen by its residents. VHA shall also provide a copy of the Notice of Final Settlement to future members of the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class who move into Public Housing after the Effective Date at the time they execute their first Public Housing lease.
- 37. **Annual Compliance Reports.** VHA shall serve an annual report or declaration on Class Counsel and post a copy on its website certifying that it has complied with all the provisions of Paragraphs 30 through 36. The first report shall be served and posted by January 31 of the year following the Effective Date. Thereafter, the annual report shall be served and posted for each calendar year by January 31 of the following year.
- 38. **Duration of Non-Monetary Relief.** The non-monetary relief provisions set forth in Paragraphs 28 through 37 shall take effect on the Effective Date and remain in effect for a period of forty-eight (48) months thereafter.

D. Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Payments

- 39. The Court hereby grants Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and awards Class Counsel the sum of \$110,000.00. The Court also approves an incentive payment in the amount of \$2,000.00 to Annie McCullumn; an incentive payment in the amount of \$1,500.00 to Nancy Ramey; and an incentive payment in the amount of \$1,500.00 to Tami Romero.
- 40. The award of attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive payments shall be paid by VHA to Class Counsel within forty-five (45) days of the Effective Date.

3

45

6 7

8

9

1	0

1	1	

1	2	
1	3	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

E. Implementation; Dismissal of Claims; No Admission of Wrongdoing

- 41. The Plaintiffs and VHA are hereby directed to proceed with and complete implementation of this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.
- 42. The Court dismisses on the merits with prejudice all claims presently before it and orders release of the Class Members' Settled Claims pursuant to Section 11.1 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 43. This Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement: (a) shall not be offered or received against VHA as evidence of, or construed as or deemed to be evidence of, any presumption, concession, or admission by VHA of the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that had been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of VHA, or any admission by VHA of any violations of, or failure to comply with, laws or regulations; and (b) shall not be offered or received against any of the Parties to this Action or the Settlement Agreement in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than as specifically provided for in this Final Order and Judgment and Settlement Agreement or in such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Final Order and Judgment and Settlement Agreement; provided, however, that the Parties may refer to the Final Order and Judgment and Settlement Agreement and rely upon each to effectuate or enforce its terms.

F. Continuing Jurisdiction and Enforcement

44. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment shall be the sole and exclusive remedy for any and all Settled Claims of Releasing Parties. Upon the Effective Date, each Releasing Party shall be barred from initiating, asserting, or prosecuting against the Released Parties any Settled Claims that are released by operation of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Order and Judgment.

Case 3:15-cv-05150-RBL Document 41 Filed 07/31/15 Page 17 of 17

1	45. Following the Effective Date,	, the Court will retain exclusive and continuing	
2	jurisdiction over this Action, the Parties, and Class Members until the expiration of the relie		
3	granted to the Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class to interpret and enforce the terms		
4	conditions, and obligations of this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, as		
5	set forth in Section 11 of the Settlement Agreement.		
6	Dated this 31 st day of July, 2015.		
7			
8		Kmal D. Leyhtun	
9		Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge	
10	Presented by:	_	
11	COLUMBIA LEGAL SERVICES	PERKINS COIE LLP	
12	s/ Gregory Prvenzano	s/Brendan Peters	
13	s/Merf Ehman	s/ Kaustuv Das	
14	Gregory D. Provenzano, WSBA No. 12794 Merf Ehman, WSBA No. 29231	Brendan Peters, WSBA No. 34490 Kaustuv M. Das, WSBA No. 34411	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
J	1		

[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 17 [Case No. 3:15-cv-05150-RBL]

Columbia Legal Services 711 Capitol Way S #304 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 943-6260 (360) 754-4578 (fax)